

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/722,276	11/25/2003	Lawrence A. Denny	1950.024	8610
30,589 7590 09/16/2008 DUNLAP CODDING, P.C. PO BOX 16370			EXAMINER	
			ULLAH MASUD, MOHAMMAD R	
OKLAHOMA CITY, OK 73113			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3687	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/16/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/722,276 DENNY, LAWRENEE A. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit MOHAMMAD R. ULLAH MASUD 3687 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 25 November 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. __ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/CS)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/25/2003

Notice of Informal Patent Application.

6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/722,276 Page 2

Art Unit: 3687

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- Claims 1, 3-5, 8-9, 11-13, 16-17, 19-21, and 24, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Garcia (US 6,088,429).

With respect to claims 1, 9, and 17, Garcia discloses a similar method for verifying prescriptions, the method comprising the steps of:

receiving, via a host system communicating with the internet, prescription information authorized by a health care provider from a computer system, the prescription information including a prescribed drug, and a dosage level for the prescribed drug, a unique health care provider code identifying the health care provider, and a patient code uniquely identifying the patient (see, for example, abstract, column 2 line 52-58; column 9 line 8-21);

generating a unique identification code, via the host system, identifying the prescription information (see, for example, column 4 line 7-11; column 9 line 8-44);

storing the prescription information including the unique identification code identifying the prescription information (see, for example, column 3 line 2-20; column 9 line 8-21);

Application/Control Number: 10/722,276

Art Unit: 3687

transmitting prescription information and the unique identification code to the computer from which the prescription information was received (see, for example, column 4 line 7-11; column 9 line 8-44);

receiving, via the host system, the unique identification code from a computer system associated with a pharmacy (see, for example, column 4 line 7-11; column 9 line 8-44); and transmitting, via the host system, retrieval information identified by the unique identification code to the computer system associated with the pharmacy, the retrieval information including the unique health care provider code identifying the health care provider, the patient code uniquely identifying the patient, and the prescription information identifying the prescripted drug and dosage level (see, for example, abstract, column 2 line 52-58; column 9 line 8-21).

With respect to claims 3, 11, and 19, Garcia discloses a similar method, wherein in the step of transmitting prescription information and the unique identification code to the computer system from which the prescription information was received, a printed prescription is produced having the unique identification code (see, for example, column 9 line 12-28, and column 10 line 55-63; column 13 line 11-15).

With respect to claims 4, 12, and 20, Garcia discloses a similar method, further comprising the step of outputting a report to a computer associated with a user other than a health care provider or a pharmacy (see, for example, column 10 line 55-67).

With respect to claims 5, 13, and 21, Garcia discloses a similar method, wherein the user is associated with a governmental entity (see, for example, column 4 line 7-22).

Art Unit: 3687

With respect to **claims 8, 16, and 24,** Garcia discloses a similar method, further comprising the step of outputting a patient prescription history which includes previous patient prescriptions associated by at least one of a patient code, a health care provider code, and a pharmacy code (see, for example, column 9 line 12-28, and column 10 line 55-63; column 13 line 11-15).

Application/Control Number: 10/722,276 Page 5

Art Unit: 3687

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

 Claims 2, 7, 10, 15, 18, and 23, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Garcia as applied to claims 1, 9, and 17 above, and further in view of Kobylevsky et al. (US 2005/0060200) (Hereinafter referred to as Kobylevsky).

Garcia discloses all the above mentioned limitations, but does not explicitly disclose a method, further comprising the step of receiving, by the host system, a confirmation code to indicate that the prescription identified by the prescription information has been filled by computer system associated with the pharmacy, and wherein the report is summarized by the name of the prescribing health care provider.

However, with respect to claims 2, 10, and 18, Kobylevsky discloses a similar method, further comprising the step of receiving, by the host system, a confirmation code to indicate that the prescription identified by the prescription information has been filled by computer system associated with the pharmacy (see, for example, paragraph [0103]).

With respect to claims 7, 15, and 23, Kobylevsky discloses a similar method, wherein the report is summarized by the name of the prescribing health care provider (see, for example, paragraph [0161]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have modified the method of Garcia, in accordance with the teaching of

Application/Control Number: 10/722,276

Art Unit: 3687

Kobylevsky, in order to verify prescriptions, further comprising the step of receiving, by the host system, a confirmation code to indicate that the prescription identified by the prescription information has been filled by computer system associated with the pharmacy, and wherein the report is summarized by the name of the prescribing health care provider, since so doing could be performed readily and easily by any person of ordinary skill in the art, with neither undue experimentation, nor risk of unexpected results.

Claims 6, 14, and 22, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
 Garcia as applied to claims 1, 4, 9, 12, 17, and 20 above, and further in view of Boyer et al. (US 6.202.923).

Garcia discloses all the above mentioned limitations, but does not explicitly disclose a method wherein the user is associated with an insurance company.

However, Boyer et al. discloses a method, wherein the user is associated with an insurance company (see, for example, column 6 line 33-44).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have modified the method of Garcia, in accordance with the teaching of Boyer et al. in order to, verify prescriptions, wherein the user is associated with an insurance company, since so doing could be performed readily and easily by any person of ordinary skill in the art, with neither undue experimentation, nor risk of unexpected results.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MOHAMMAD R. ULLAH MASUD whose telephone number is (571)270-5390. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY TO THURSDAY 9.00 AM TO 5.30 PM (EASTERN TIME).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MATTHEW S. GART can be reached on (571)272-3955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/M. R. U./ Examiner, Art Unit 3687 /Matthew S Gart/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3687